


09/304,787



Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Carl J. EVANS et al.

Application No.: 09/304,787

Group Art Unit: 2683

Filed: May 4, 1999

Examiner: Sobutka, P.

Attorney Docket No.: 09710-1113

Client Docket No.: COS-98-009

For: ADVERTISEMENT BROADCASTING FOR PAGING

RECEIVED

JAN 08 2002

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Technology Center 2600

RESTRICTION RESPONSE AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Dear Sir:

In response to election/restriction requirement in the Office Action of October 4, 2001,
Applicants elect, with traverse, the invention of Group I, claims 1-7, classified class 340,
subclass 825.52. MPEP § 803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made
without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the
merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent
inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area as
evidenced by the common class 340. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the
outstanding election/restriction requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the
entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

01/07/2002 SSESHE1 00000030 09304787

01 FC:103

36.00 00